

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/664,126	TODD ET AL.	
	Examiner John J. Figueroa	Art Unit 1796	

All Participants:

(1) John J. Figueroa.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(2) Iona N. Kaiser.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 September 2010

Time: 3:00 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

See Continuation Sheet

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John J. Figueroa /
 Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of rejections discussed: Obviousness-Type Double Patenting ('ODP') Rejections that were previously presented in items 7 and 8 on page 4 of the Office Action dated August 3, 2010, namely USPN 7,475,728 to Paul (hereinafter 'Paul'728') and USPN 7,497,278 B2 to Schriener (hereinafter 'Schriener'278'), respectively.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In view of Applicant's remarks filed in the response dated August 30, 2010, Examiner agreed to withdraw the ODP rejection over Pauls'728. Applicant agreed to file a Terminal Disclaimer to overcome the ODP rejection over Schriener '278.